



Figure 2

The tolerancing in Figure 2 is a much better solution to the drawing requirements. Since both hole patterns are located to the same datum features, in the same order of precedence, with basic dimensions, they are considered to be one composite pattern of features with respect to the upper segment of the composite feature control frame. That is, both patterns are located to datum features B and C and to each other within a cylindrical tolerance zone of $\varnothing.010$ at MMC. The lower segment of each feature control frame requires each hole to be located to every other hole in its respective pattern, perpendicular to datum feature A, and parallel to datum feature B within the smaller tolerance of $\varnothing.002$ at MMC. Since the simultaneous requirement note, SIM REQT, has been placed beneath each feature control frame, both patterns are to be treated as one composite pattern with respect to the lower segment of the feature control frame. This means that all holes in both patterns are located to each other, perpendicular to datum feature A, and parallel to datum feature B within the same small tolerance; but at the same time, both patterns, treated as one composite pattern, are allowed to translate up and down and back and forth within the larger cylindrical tolerance zone $\varnothing.010$ at MMC.

¹Cogorno, Gene R., *Geometric Dimensioning and Tolerancing for Mechanical Design, Second Edition*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 2011, p. 140